

LONDON BOROUGH OF BRENT

MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

Held in the Conference Hall, Brent Civic Centre on Tuesday 14 June 2022 at 6.00 pm

PRESENT: Councillor Kelcher (Chair), Councillor S Butt (Vice Chair) and Councillors Akram, Begum, Dixon, Mahmood and Seelan.

1. Apologies for absence and clarification of alternative members

Apologies were received from Councillor Maurice.

2. Declarations of interests

There were no declarations of interest made at the meeting.

3. Minutes of the previous meeting

RESOLVED that the minutes of the previous meeting held on Wednesday 20 April be approved as an accurate record of the meeting.

4. 21/3754 – 66 Cavendish Road, London, NW6 7XP

PROPOSAL

Demolition of the existing residential building and the construction of a new part five, part six storey residential building, together with associated landscaping, cycle parking and refuse and recycling facilities.

RECOMMENDATION:

- (1) That the Committee resolve to GRANT planning permission subject to the prior completion of a legal agreement to secure the following planning obligations:
 - (a) Payment of the Council's legal and other professional costs in (a) preparing and completing the agreement and (b) monitoring and enforcing its performance
 - (b) Notification of material start 28 days prior to commencement
 - (c) Financial contribution of £150,000 towards affordable housing provision in Brent.
 - (d) Late stage viability review (drafted in line with standard GLA review clause wording) to be submitted at or after 75% occupation of the private residential development. An offsite affordable housing payment to be made where an uplift in profit above a break-even position is identified. Viability review to be based on an agreed Benchmark Land

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

Value of £3.368m and developer profit of 17.5%. Not more than 90% of the private dwellings to be occupied until viability review approved in writing by the LPA.

- (e) Sustainability and energy
 - (i) Detailed design stage energy assessment. Initial carbon offset payment if zero-carbon target not achieved on site.
 - (ii) Post-construction energy assessment. Final carbon offset payment if zero-carbon target not achieved on site.
 - (iii) 'Be seen' energy monitoring requirements
- (2) That the Head of Planning is delegated authority to negotiate the legal agreement indicated above.
- (3) That the Head of Planning is delegated authority to issue the planning permission and impose conditions and informatives as set out in the report.
- (4) That the Head of Planning is delegated authority to make changes to the wording of the committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions, informatives, planning obligations or reasons for the decision) prior to the decision being actioned, provided that the Head of Planning is satisfied that any such changes could not reasonably be regarded as deviating from the overall principle of the decision reached by the committee nor that such change(s) could reasonably have led to a different decision having been reached by the committee
- (5) That the Committee confirms that adequate provision has been made, by the imposition of conditions, for the preservation or planting of trees as required by Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990

June Taylor, Principal Planning Officer, South Team, introduced the report and set out the key issues. In introducing the report members were advised that the application was seeking the demolition of the existing residential building comprising of 13 studio flats and the construction of a new part five, part six storey residential building comprising of 21 flats (4 x 1-bedroom, 12 x 2-bedroom and 5 x 3-bedroom), together with associated landscaping, cycle parking and refuse and recycling facilities. The committee were informed that the subject site was a three-storey detached building that occupied the corner plot at the south-eastern junction of Willesden Lane and Cavendish Road. The building was currently in residential use as 13 self-contained studio flats. The site fell within an Intensification Corridor designated within the Brent Local Plan and was not located in a conservation area. Although the site had been proposed to form part of a conservation area in Brent's Local Plan it was noted that this designation would be subject to a further legal process and was not currently in effect.

In closing the introduction to the application the Planning Officer drew members attention to the supplementary report that included details of an amendment to the proposed s106 Heads of Terms, an increase in the development's Urban Greening Factor and some minor amendments to pre-existing conditions including a change

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

in the wording on Condition 16 that provided more clarity on the level and type of detail of the material used for the external works of the proposed development.

Members noted the information provided and as no questions were raised at this point the Chair then invited Mr David Callister (objector) to address the Committee (in person) in relation to the application.

Mr Callister introduced himself as a local resident who lived in the neighbouring property to the proposed application, he then went on to share his concerns regarding the application as follows:

- The proximity of the proposed development to his garden and view that its design and scale would be excessive and lead to unacceptable overshadowing of his garden and property.
- Many properties in the area were of Victorian and Georgian design, Mr Callister felt that the proposed new development would not be in keeping with the Victorian and Georgian design features and therefore would alter the character of the area.
- The relationship between the proposed development and neighbouring development currently under construction at No.162 Willesden Lane which, whilst having paused had been a focus of fly-tipping to the area as well as noise pollution and disruption to local roads.
- In light of the observations made with regard to No.162 Willesden Lane there was further concern that if the proposed application were to be approved the impact of having two adjacent buildings being demolished and reconstructed at the same time would have negative consequences for the local community as a result of increased construction traffic and noise pollution.
- In summarising his concerns Mr Callister urged the Committee that in making their decision consideration should be given to the wider detrimental impacts on local residents, their wellbeing and the surrounding infrastructure, in addition to the loss of green space and how this would affect local wildlife, if the proposal was approved.

The Chair thanked Mr Callister for his contribution and in doing so the Committee extended their thanks and acknowledgment of the difficulties in presenting an objection to the Committee, particularly when the subject was so emotive for local residents. As there were no questions for Mr Callister the Chair invited Belinda Sinclair (objector) to address the Committee (in person) in relation to the application.

Ms Sinclair introduced herself as the Chair of the Historic Brondesbury Park Building Group before moving on to share the Group's following concerns in relation to the proposed development:

- The timing of the application, in advance of consideration being given to the possible inclusion of Cavendish Road within a Conservation Area, given the additional protections this would provide in terms of preserving the character of the area.
- The character and features of the buildings in the area and the importance of retaining their character, noting that if planning permission was granted the character of the area would be affected.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

- Concerns were also raised regarding the loss of green space and the additional pressures that the development would have on local amenities such as doctors and school places in the area.
- Further concerns were raised regarding the perceived lack of a safe pedestrian crossing on the road, which there had been local lobbying to improve. The group felt that there would be an increased risk of harm with added construction traffic to the area.
- The group queried the lack of public consultation, stating that despite a number of members of the Historic Brondesbury Park Building Group living in close proximity to the proposed development it appeared none of them had received consultation material.
- In summarising the group's concerns, Ms Sinclair requested that the Committee were mindful of the concerns raised by local residents in relation to light, overshadowing and overall impact on the neighbourhood before they made their decision on the application.

In response to questions from the Committee in relation to her comments Ms Sinclair responded with the following points:

- In response to a question regarding whether the objectors felt reassured, in terms of traffic and road safety impact, by the proposed development being designed to be car free, Ms Sinclair replied that this did not provide reassurance. This was in view of the impact which both this and the adjacent development and construction works would have in terms of generating additional construction traffic, which she felt posed a serious health and safety concern for the local community.
- Ms Sinclair advised the Committee that the group were broadly supportive of new affordable homes in the area and understood the housing need in Brent, however it was felt the proposed development was not seeking to provide affordable homes for local residents and was in fact a luxury development.

As there were no further questions raised the Chair invited the final speaker Lewis Westhoff (as the applicant's agent) to address the Committee (in person). Lewis Westhoff introduced the application, drawing the Committee's attention to the following key points:

- The scheme aimed to deliver a new purpose-built residential development in a sustainable location that would provide much needed new housing for the borough that included the provision of a range of housing sizes, including 1, 2 and 3 bedroom homes suitable for a range of households, including families.
- The affordable housing contribution of £150,000 would help contribute to the delivery of affordable housing within the London Borough of Brent.
- The delivery of a high-quality architectural building that responded to the site's context as a corner site, the recently approved development at 162 Willesden Lane and the prevailing character of the local area.
- The scheme would provide extensive areas of private and communal amenity space for occupants of the development.
- The scheme achieved a high Urban Greening Factor, enhanced by the removal of low quality trees and the replacement with new, native tree species along the frontage of the site. In addition to this the removal of redundant vehicle crossovers and the provision of new high quality streetscape planting would benefit the local townscape and streetscape.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

- The scheme would deliver a sustainable and energy efficient building that utilised energy efficient building fabric, green roofs and PV panels.
- The delivery of a car free development with high levels of cycle parking to support sustainable transport to and from the site.
- The design of the development would be in keeping with the development of 162 Willesden Lane and both developments had been designed to complement each other.
- The proposed scheme was an exemplar way to optimise the corner site that sat within the intensification corridor, corresponding with Brent's Local Plan.

In response to Committee questions Mr Westhoff clarified the following points:

- Measures to prevent overlooking had been considered and factored in to the design of the building, this included the addition of inset windows that were angled to the south that would allow outlook for residents of the proposed scheme whilst also protecting the outlook of neighbouring properties.
- Mr Westhoff confirmed that the applicant would be happy to agree a reasonable contribution towards the improvement of local community park and open space amenities given that the added amenity space included in the proposed developments was for use of residents of the new development.
- In response to Committee concerns that there were no affordable housing units available as part of the scheme, Mr Westhoff informed the Committee that the applicant recognised the importance of affordable housing as part of the scheme coupled with the need to optimise the site space as much as possible. Following an independent viability assessment, however, it had been confirmed that the scheme could not include the commercially viable delivery of on-site affordable housing. In recognition of this the applicant had offered a s106 contribution of £150,000 to support affordable housing provision within Brent.
- Following a Committee comment regarding the risk of flooding with particular reference to the basement properties, Mr Westhoff advised the Committee that rain water run off on the site achieved a reduction against the current site through the use of a sustainable urban drainage solution, permeable paving and an on-site attenuation storage of 25 cubic metres. This would mitigate the risk of excessive run off water with the stored water being discharged at a controlled rate.
- A Construction Management Plan would ensure that Health and Safety concerns resulting from the possibility of any parallel construction works taking place were considered and disruption to the community would be minimised as much as possible. This would need to be secured by condition before any works could start on the proposed development on Cavendish Road.
- Mr Westhoff confirmed that the development would comply with condition 4 that would ensure that machinery used would be compliant with the recommended ranges of noise and emissions in order to mitigate noise and air pollution concerns.

After considering the responses provided by Mr Westhoff, the Chair invited Committee members to ask officers any further questions or points of clarification they may have. Committee members had a number of queries that included issues regarding the location on the site, amenity space, the height of the proposed

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

development, flooding and drainage and local transport links. The following responses were provided by officers:

- Officers confirmed that the proposed scheme fell within an Intensification Corridor designated within the Local Plan, with clarification provided around the definition and purpose of these designations within Brent's Local Plan. In terms of the design, scale and appearance the Committee were advised that within the Local Plan the locations policy BD2 suggested that developments with a height of up to 15 m above ground level may be acceptable while policy BH4 set out that the character of the existing area would be subject to change over the plan period. On the basis of the reasons set out in the report the design and scale of the development was therefore considered to be acceptable in the context of the existing and emerging street scene in the area, bulk and massing with no material impact on nearby heritage assets and was therefore identified as a suitable location for development.
- Whilst noting the shortfall in private and communal external amenity space against Brent's policy targets the Committee were advised that on balance this had been considered to be acceptable given the proximity to Queens Park and Aylestone Avenue Open Space and other local parks within the radius of an 8-10 minute walk. It was also noted that the applicants agent had confirmed (during the meeting) given the concerns identified that the applicant would be willing to include an additional financial contribution of £15,000 towards the improvements of local parks and open spaces.
- In response to a Committee query requesting some further details around the maximum height of the proposed building in line with Policy BD2, officers informed the Committee that the proposed building would range in height from three to five storeys, with additional accommodation at lower ground floor level. Most of the building would be less than 15m in height however ground level changes within the site and elements of the 4th floor projected marginally above this by approximately 0.5 metres. Areas where the policy height were exceeded were the lift overrun and the enclosure for the roof terrace. These had however been considered acceptable in the context of the existing and emerging street scene in the area. Officers advised that the corner location provided scope for a five storey element that acted as a marker block and responded to the development coming forward from the opposite site.
- Officers confirmed that the Council's Tree Officer had no objections to the scheme on arboricultural grounds and that the two trees subject to Tree Preservation Orders would be retained with the ecological appraisal having identified that the development would be unlikely to affect the ecological value of the area.
- In response to Committee concerns that the area could become overdeveloped in the future, officers reassured the Committee that any new development proposals would be subject to detailed assessment in terms of compliance with national and local planning policy which would include impact on neighbouring properties, the existing character of the area, design and scale as well as mix of tenure and environmental considerations and would need to demonstrate how these requirements were met prior to consideration.
- In answer to a Committee query regarding the impact of the proposed development on flooding and drainage in the area, officers advised that the Local Lead Flood Authority had been consulted and noted that despite the proposal leading to a 48% increase in non- permeable space within the site,

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

the proposed implementation of sustainable drainage measures via 25 cubic metres of storage attenuation would significantly reduce the controlled discharge rates, with an 86% reduction in a 1 in 100 year event. Consequently the development provided a significant betterment from a flood risk perspective.

- Officers advised that due to the area around the site having been identified as previously contaminated, a full assessment of land contamination would be required. This would be secured by condition, together with the implementation and validation of any necessary remediation measures.
- Officers informed the Committee that if the scheme were approved, it would not be possible to give a time frame as to when construction would start as there were a number of factors that would impact on this including the outcome of the land contamination and other assessments and pre construction conditions needing to be met. Therefore it was possible that concerns regarding the construction of two adjacent developments in tandem would be alleviated, given that work was already underway at 162 Willesden Lane.
- A Construction Plan would need to be submitted ahead of construction, this would address how any disruption in the event of work being completed on both sites would be mitigated to minimise disruption.
- Following a Committee comment recognising that the proposed scheme's communal space should be for the use of all residents not just those in the ground floor units, it was agreed that a further condition be added to clarify that communal space was for use by all residents within the new development.
- Whilst noting the proposed development would be car free with no parking provided on site and on street permit parking restrictions members were advised this had been considered to be acceptable given the good accessibility of public transport in the area. Officers advised that the proposed development had been given a Public Transport Accessibility Level (PTAL) rating of 4, which was considered a high rating given that PTAL ratings were from 1- 6. The closest over ground station to the site was Brondesbury Park, with Kilburn being the closest tube station. There were also a number of local bus routes in close proximity. The Committee were also advised that in line with London Plan Policy T5 a store room at lower ground floor level would provide 40 secure cycle parking spaces.

As there were no further issues raised and having established that all members had followed the discussions the Chair asked members to vote on the recommendation that included the additional conditions as set out below.

DECISION: Granted planning permission subject to:

- (a) the prior completion of a legal agreement to secure the planning obligations set out within the report along with updates detailed within the supplementary agenda and following additional obligation agreed at the meeting:
 - Inclusion of an additional financial contribution of £15,000 towards the improvements of local parks and open spaces.
- (b) the conditions and informatives set out in the report and updates detailed within the supplementary agenda along with the inclusion of the following additional condition agreed at the meeting:

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

- To require that the communal spaces are for use by all residents within the development.

(Voting on the recommendations was as follows: For: 7, Against 0 and Abstain 0)

5. 21/3443 – 30 Brondesbury Park, Kilburn, London, NW6 7DN

PROPOSAL

Demolition of the existing property and erection of 9 residential units (6 flats in a three-storey building and 3 two – storey terraced houses) together with access, parking, landscaping and associated works.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- (1) That the Committee resolve to GRANT planning permission subject to conditions and informatives as set out within the report.
- (2) That the Head of Planning is delegated authority to make changes to the wording of the committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions, informatives, planning obligations or reasons for the decision) prior to the decision being actioned, provided that the Head of Planning is satisfied that any such changes could not reasonably be regarded as deviating from the overall principle of the decision reached by the committee nor that such change(s) could reasonably have led to a different decision having been reached by the committee.
- (3) That the Committee confirms that the adequate provision has been made, by the imposition of conditions, for the preservation or planting of trees as required by Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 .

June Taylor, Principal Planning Officer, South Team, introduced the report and set out the key issues. In introducing the report members were advised that the application was seeking the demolition of the existing building in order to be replaced by six flats in its place (three x 2 bedroom and three x 3 bedroom) together with one x 4 bed house and two x 3 bed houses addressing the Aylestone Avenue frontage.

The Committee were advised there had been an error on the original report regarding the breakdown of terraced houses with the correct number and size of dwellings being reflected in the proposal as outlined above.

Three on site parking spaces would be provided, one using the existing access from Aylestone Avenue and two using a new crossover on Aylestone Avenue. The existing vehicle crossover on Brondesbury Park would be removed and reinstated to provide a footway. Associated landscaping, cycle storage and bin storage would also be provided.

As the Committee had no questions for the officer at this point, the Chair invited the first public speaker on the item Mr Abhijeet Parikh (objector) to address the

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

Committee (in person) in relation to the application, who went on to highlight the following concerns:

- Mr Parikh advised that he lived in a neighbouring property to the proposed development with shared a party wall. His main concerns related to the design and scale of the development which he felt would negatively impact on his and other neighbouring properties by creating significant overlooking.
- Whilst not objecting to the conversion of the property to flats his concerns were focussed around the terraced houses proposed. Whilst noting that the west facing elevation diagram in the report pack showed that the development would be set back, Mr Parikh was not satisfied that this would effectively mitigate his concerns and felt that even if a high party wall or trees were used as green screening this would continue to adversely impact on the daylight/sunlight within his garden and overshadow his property.
- Mr Parikh shared that as a long standing resident of Brent he would be grateful if the Planning Committee were mindful of his and other local residents objections and impact which it was felt the development would have on the neighbouring properties.

The Chair thanked Mr Parikh for his contribution. Having clarified the distance of the affected boundary wall to the development site there were no further questions from Committee members so the Chair invited the next speaker James Young (objector) to address the Committee (in person) in relation to the application, with the following concerns highlighted:

- Mr Young introduced himself as local resident who lived in close proximity to the garden area that would be built upon if planning permission for the scheme were to be approved.
- Mr Young advised the Committee that his objections were in relation to the breaking of the building line on Aylestone Road which would affect all residents of Aylestone Road. The Committee were referred to a handout circulated on behalf of Mr Young in advance of the meeting prepared to demonstrate the breaking of the building line.
- In addition, Mr Young shared concern about the application setting a potential precedent for “garden grabbing” whereby other locally identified gardens in plots of land on the handout provided could attract similar development proposals, leading to an overintensification of development in the area.
- Whilst acknowledging the need to provide more local affordable housing he highlighted the lack of affordable housing units within the development along with the detrimental impact it was felt the proposals would have on the character of the area, neighbouring properties in terms of daylight and privacy.

In terms of issues raised by the Committee the Chair, whilst acknowledging the loss of green space, also felt it important to highlight this as a corner site development. In accepting this point, Mr Young felt that significant concerns remained in relation to the overall scale and mass of the proposed development and its detrimental impact on building lines on Aylestone Avenue.

As Committee members had no further questions, the Chair invited the final speaker Laura Jenkinson (applicant’s agent) to address the Committee (in person)

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

in relation to the application. Laura Jenkinson went on to share the following key points:

- The applicant had positively engaged throughout the application process with officers, residents and councillors. This has included pre-application meetings with Brent's planning and design officers who had shared positive feedback on the proposals.
- The proposed scheme sought to replace a single property which was currently split into three flats, with three good sized family houses and six spacious flats. Noting the comments on privacy, the applicant had sought to preserve the privacy, amenity and relationship to neighbouring buildings in accordance with Brent's policies and Design Guide SPD. The rear wall of the terrace houses was situated 8.9m from the side garden of 32 Brondesbury Park with clarification provided that the south facing windows on Flats 05, 07 and 09 were over 30m from 1 Aylestone Avenue. There were no windows facing No 1 Aylestone Avenue, other than a non-habitable south-facing hall window in House 01 which looked out onto the front driveway of the adjoining site. As such, the scheme complied with Brent design guide rules and retained adequate separation distances with surrounding properties.
- The proposed development would provide public benefit in line with the London Plan that emphasised the important role that small sites should play to tackle London's housing crisis. The proposals supported this objective, and were in line with Brent's policy for small sites as well as contributing to the borough's housing target by providing nine high quality new family homes.
- The homes would be constructed to a high quality design that responded to the suburban character of the area, with three houses fronting Aylestone Avenue and six flats within a single building fronting Brondesbury Park. This efficiently utilised the site's corner position.
- The proposed landscaping strategy would provide 22 new trees to increase the soft landscaping on the site with a combination of well-proportioned landscaped private gardens and a communal garden, flower-rich perennial planting, boundary hedge treatments, amenity grassland and permeable paving.
- Sustainable design and construction measures were proposed including water conservation and energy efficiency measures.
- Three off street parking spaces and a dedicated and secure cycle parking store for 20 bicycles would be provided to encourage sustainable modes of travel.
- The scheme would see Brent benefit from a CIL contribution from the developers which would help towards improving both local and regional infrastructure.

In response to Committee questions Laura Jenkinson clarified the following points:

- In response to concerns highlighted regarding the lack of affordable housing units within the proposals Laura Jenkinson confirmed that the application had been supported by an independent viability assessment which had confirmed the site could not viably deliver any contribution towards affordable housing, although the scheme would deliver 66% family sized housing units which exceeded Brent's policy target.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

- In response to a question regarding the applicant's future plans for the completed development the Committee were advised of the applicant's preference to retain the site for his purposes and family use.
- In response to a Committee question regarding what could be done to maintain the character and attractive frontage of the building to ensure it remained in keeping with the area Laura Jenkinson outlined the extensive work undertaken with the Planning and Design Officer in seeking to retain the distinctive character of the current building.
- The clarification provided that whilst the impact on daylight and sunlight in relation to four side facing windows at 32 Brondesbury Park would fall slightly below BRE target values the development had been considered acceptable in terms of Brent policy guidelines given the light and outlook to those windows was already constrained given their position and close proximity to the existing building on site.

As there were no further questions for the agent the Chair invited Committee members to ask the officers any remaining questions or points of clarification that they required. Committee members had a number of questions for officers, including a query regarding the building line, the tree planting schedule, daylight/sunlight assessments and the wider benefits for local residents as a result of the scheme. Officers responded to the questions raised as follows:

- In relation to the query regarding the change in the building line officers advised that in order to increase the intensification opportunities of the site it was necessary that the building line stepped forward. The terraced houses would add active frontage to the streetscape and greening to the street through the front garden. In addition to this the separation distances to either site had been carefully judged to ensure the building would appear as a continuation of the three storey apartment block and as part of the corner site rather than having a stronger visual connection to the properties on Aylestone Road. Officers felt that on balance the minimal stepping forward of the building line was acceptable. Slides were then shared to provide the Committee with greater context as to how the revised building line would appear.
- Officers confirmed that the arboricultural report submitted included a survey of 13 trees, tree groups and hedges which had been categorised as being of high, medium or low quality. The proposal would lead to the removal of nine trees and part of the privet hedge, all of which had been classified as low quality Category C trees or as unsuitable for retention. Three trees including two high quality Category A trees and a moderate quality Category B tree would be retained with 22 new trees provided to include a mixture of sizes and species to replace the trees lost as part of the development.
- In response to a Committee query regarding the frontage of the three terraced houses appearing to be very close to the road, officers advised that the minimum required distance from the road to buildings had been achieved.
- Following a Committee question regarding which road would provide the main access point to the development, officers advised that the front doors to the terraced properties would be on Aylestone Avenue, however it was noted that there was also access via Brondesbury Park.
- Officers informed the Committee that fire safety considerations had been detailed within the report and noted that the proposal was in accordance with London Plan Policy D12A (Fire Safety).

PLANNING COMMITTEE

14 June 2022

- In clarifying the position regarding the assessment of impact arising from the development on light and outlook the Committee was advised that the proposals complied with the 30 degree test set out in Brent's SPD1 in respect of all neighbouring properties other than the side facing windows at No 32 Brondesbury Park. It was noted, however, that the existing buildings on the site also caused a breach of the 30 degree test from these windows although the proposals would comply with the 45 degree test in respect of the rear garden to No 32 Brondesbury Park. In view of the assessment provided, however, officers were of the view that the development would retain adequate separation distances with surrounding properties. Whilst acknowledging that some windows at No.32 Brondesbury Park would experience a reduction in daylight and sunlight it was felt the impact would be commensurate with the character of the area and would reflect existing constraints experienced by the side facing windows in close proximity to neighbouring properties. On this basis confirmation was provided that the impact on neighbouring properties in this respect was considered to be acceptable and did not outweigh the benefits of the scheme.
- The Committee questioned what benefits the scheme would bring to local residents other than additional housing stock, noting that usually new developments would aim to extend additional positive benefits to the local area. Officers advised that the new housing was the main benefit to the scheme as well as some benefits to the streetscape and landscaping.
- Clarification was also provided in relation to the CIL liability contribution which had been estimated at £160,664 plus an additional £32,000 contribution towards the Mayor for London fund.

As there were no further issues raised and having established that all members had followed the discussions the Chair asked members to vote on the recommendations.

DECISION: Granted planning permission subject to the conditions and informatives set out in the report and updates detailed within the supplementary agenda.

(Voting on the recommendation was as follows: For 5, Against 1 and Abstain 0)

7. Any Other Urgent Business

None

The meeting closed at 8:09pm.

COUNCILLOR KELCHER
Chair